From: lmushegain@aol.com

**Sent:** Thursday, September 13, 2012 9:00 PM

**To:** RoseBowlNFLComments

**Subject:** Letter in Opposition to Alternatives 2 and 3

Dear Mr. Sinclair.

I am writing to oppose use of the NFL by the Rose Bowl on a temporary basis. I think it's great that Pasadena is home to UCLA, the Rose Bowl Game and occasionally the Super Bowl. Do I think it's great for Pasadena to be any thing else than that? No. Our City does not need the increased traffic or the increased crime that comes with pro sports. When the City submitted the poll to the people of Pasadena last year, the majority of the people voted for no action or to study the issue further with limitations. The number of people who were in outright support of use of the Rose Bowl as a temporary NFL stadium was minimal.

I fully support Alternative 1, No Project Alternative, and am opposed to any other alternative. I live off of the San Rafael Hills exit of the 134 and work in Old Town Pasadena. When I am aware of an event at the Rose Bowl, I need to modify my entire day in order to avoid entering or exiting the 134 or 210 freeway near the Rose Bowl or even traveling down La Loma Rd. to California. Essentially my ease of access to Pasadena becomes cutoff. Because I am aware of UCLA games in advance, I am able to modify my schedule. However, it does become annoying at times knowing that it is difficult for me to get home at certain hours of those days due to traffic during the late summer and all of fall.

If the NFL is also allowed to use the Rose Bowl, the increased events will become more than a mere annoyance but will actually disrupt my life from August through December. This is especially true when I need to go to work (in Old Town) on the day of a football game and it is difficult to access Old Town because of the football games.

Please protect our City and the residents of this City and enact Alternative 1, No Project Alternative.

Sincerely,

Lorig Mushegain 1245 Church Street 1

## Letter No. 39: Lorig Mushegain

Lorig Mushegain 1245 Church Street September 13, 2012

## Response 39-1

Impacts related to traffic during displacement events are discussed in Section 3.7, Transportation, Circulation, and Parking, of the Draft EIR. As discussed in that section, the implementation of provided mitigation measures would reduce the severity of impacts related to traffic during displacement events, but those impacts would remain significant and unavoidable, as stated in this comment.