From: Petrea Burchard <pb@petreaburchard.com>
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2012 9:11 PM
To: RoseBowlNFLComments
Subject: NFL in the Arroyo Seco

The potential disaster of the NFL in the Rose Bowl Stadium cannot be overstated.

It's one thing to use the golf course as a parking lot during college games. It is quite another to invite in regular use by more people, more trash and more pollution. Permanent damage to the golf course is certain.

But that is minor compared to the damage our air quality will suffer. We are already in a "bowl," backed up against the mountains, and bringing more pollutants and more cars into the Arroyo on a regular basis will have long term effects on our air quality.

The quality of life on Pasadena's west side is also at stake. I think the EIR should examine property values around other NFL stadia. Many of them are in the worst neighborhoods in their cities. Did this happen before or after the NFL came? With traffic, air pollution, trash and drunkenness that the NFL brings, property values on the west side are sure to diminish and with them, tax revenue.

Speaking of which, we're going to need additions to our police force on those days.

Not allowing area residents to use the Arroyo Seco on game days is the worst possible thing Pasadena can do. This really should not be a consideration at all.

Thank you

sincerely, Petrea Burchard Sandel 551 Eldora Road Pasadena

Letter No. 25: Petrea Burchard Sandel

Petrea Burchard Sandel 551 Eldora Road Pasadena, CA October 5, 2012

Response 25-1

Please see **Response 8-11** regarding impacts to the golf course. Please see **Response 2-6** regarding localized air quality impacts

Response 25-2

The comment raises issues that do not appear to relate to any physical effect on the environment. Any diminution of property values during the maximum five-year period during which an NFL team would play at the Rose Bowl is not expected to result in physical impacts to the neighborhood. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project. However, because the comment does not raise an environmental issue, no further response is required

Response 25-3

Please see Response 8-40, Response 8-41, Response 8-45, and Response 11-20 regarding impacts on public services.