

8.0 ADDENDA and ERRATA/ COMMENTS and RESPONSES

8.1 ADDENDA and ERRATA

The changes incorporated into this EIR involve clarifications resulting from comments received from the applicant, staff, and the public.

This section of the Final EIR for the All Saints Church Master Development Plan presents modifications to the Draft EIR and Recirculated Draft EIR text based on comments received and the City's responses, which are included below in Section 8.2. Deletions are noted by ~~strikeout~~ and insertions by underline. Individual typographical corrections are not specifically stated.

Project Description

The Draft EIR has been updated to correctly identify the chapter of the Zoning Code that identifies the criteria used by City of Pasadena Historic Preservation Commission to evaluate historic resources for historic landmark designation the Zoning Code as 17.62.040, rather than 17.62.40.

City of Pasadena Zoning Code, Chapter 17.62.040. The City of Pasadena Historic Preservation Commission uses the criteria below to evaluate historic resources for historic landmark designation:

[...]

All Saints Episcopal Church. The City of Pasadena Landmark Criteria are substantially similar to the NRHP and CRHR criteria. Therefore, the three buildings making up the All Saints Episcopal Church property also appear to be eligible for designation as a Pasadena Landmark under criteria 1 and 3 of Chapter 17.62.040 of the Zoning Code. In terms of the eligibility of Scott Hall for local designation, the ordinance does not contain explicit age criteria which would preclude its listing without the making of special findings as required by the NRHP and CRHR. However, of the 93 properties in the city currently designated as Pasadena Landmarks, only a small number appear to have been designated at less than 50 years of age, which suggests that a standard similar to the "exceptional" standard in the NRHP is functionally operative in the city designation process. Therefore, it appears that Scott Hall would not be eligible for designation as a Pasadena Landmark. (City of Pasadena, 2010)

Please see Final EIR Section 8.3 for revisions to Draft EIR Historic Resources Chapter (Section 4.3) and Final EIR Appendices for revisions to the Historic Resource Appendix (Appendix D).

Page 5-4 (Draft EIR), insert above second paragraph:



Individual thresholds in some resource issue areas, such as noise, were not carried over to the EIR for further analysis where analysis in the Initial Study indicated that impacts would be less than significant.

Page 6-20 (Recirculated Draft EIR), last paragraph:

Of the alternatives described above, Alternative 1 (No Project), Alternative 4 (Retain Maryland Hotel Wall and Rotate Building A), Alternative 5 (Relocate/Reconfigure Building A and Retain Maryland Hotel Wall) and Alternative 6 (Relocate Building A and Retain Maryland Hotel Wall) conflict with one or more of the project objectives that were provided by the project applicant. Alternative 2 (Retain Maryland Hotel Wall) appears to generally achieve the applicant's objectives, though perhaps not to the same degree as the proposed project.

Appendix A

The average flow of the Whittier Narrows Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) and the Los Coyotes WRP has been updated in the Initial Study Section 19b (Appendix A), per Response 4C. Please also note that the box under "Potentially Significant Impact" was incorrectly checked; consistent with the analysis and conclusions provided in the text, and confirmed here, the box under "Less than Significant Impact" should have been checked.

The proposed project would generate approximately ~~11,475~~ 11,709 gallons of wastewater per day under Scenario 1 senior residential, or would generate approximately ~~3,900~~ 4,134 gallons of wastewater per day under Scenario 2 youth recreation. Revisions to wastewater generation rates for the proposed project are based on information provided by the Los Angeles County Sanitation District which represents a conservative assumption and does not take into account local regulations and programs (i.e., green building code requirements, LACSD rebates based on reductions in wastewater generation, etc.). As discussed in the City's 2004 General Plan FEIR, new development built pursuant to the 2004 Land Use Element, as implemented by the Zoning Code Revisions, will increase wastewater generation. Approximately 90 percent of water consumed within the City becomes wastewater. Using this factor, Pasadena is expected to generate approximately 24.2 million gallons per day (mgd) of wastewater in 2015, an increase of 4.28 million gpd (18 percent) over 2000 conditions. The City's wastewater is treated at the Whittier Narrows, Los Coyotes and the San Jose Creek Water Reclamation Plants. These plants provide primary, secondary and tertiary treatment. No existing deficiencies have been identified in the County Sanitation Districts' collection or treatment facilities serving Pasadena. County Sanitation Districts indicated the Whittier Narrows Water Reclamation Plant has a design capacity of 15 mgd and that the plant currently processes an average flow of ~~8.5~~ 4.7 mgd. The District also indicated the Los Coyotes WRP has a design capacity of 37.5 mgd and processes an average flow of ~~22.6~~ 24.8 mgd. The design capacities of the Districts' wastewater treatment facilities are based on the regional growth forecast adopted by SCAG. All expansions of the Districts' facilities must be sized and serviced in a manner that is consistent with SCAG regional growth forecasts. For these reasons, impacts to wastewater treatment facilities would be less-than-significant. Further discussion in an



EIR is not warranted. Please see item 19.e for a discussion of local conveyance infrastructure.

Appendix C

The trip generation for several of the cumulative projects has been revised, as explained in Response D20.2. Revisions to Table 5 in DEIR Appendix C are marked in ~~strikeout~~ and underline at the end of this document in FEIR Appendix D.

8.2 COMMENTS and RESPONSES

CEQA Guidelines Section 15088 requires that the lead agency evaluate public comments on environmental issues included in a Draft EIR and prepare written responses to those comments. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088(c), "The written responses shall describe the disposition of significant environmental issues raised." (See also CEQA Guidelines Section 15204(a).) Some of the comments raised, however, are more general in context, stating opinion either in favor of or opposition to the proposed project, or are comments more specific to design considerations rather than environmental impacts. In such cases, the comment is noted for the record and will be forwarded to the decision makers for their consideration, along with all of the comments.

This section of the Final EIR for the All Saints Church Master Development Plan EIR contains all of the written comments received during the 75-day public review period. The original public review period extended from July 19, 2010, through October 1, 2010. Written comments were also prepared for the summary of oral comments heard at the Design Commission and Planning Commission public meetings held on September 10, 2010 and September 22, 2010, respectively. A Recirculated Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review and comment from May 16, 2011, through June 14, 2011. A public hearing was held on May 25, 2011 before the Planning Commission to receive oral comments. Each comment received by the City of Pasadena has been included within this report. Responses to all comments have been prepared to address the concerns raised by the commenters and to indicate where and how the EIR addresses environmental issues. Comments received during the review period for the Draft EIR are identified with the letter "D" preceding the letter/comment number (e.g., D1), and comments received during the review period for the Recirculated Draft EIR are identified with the letter/comment "R" preceding the letter number (e.g., R2). Changes that were made to the EIR in response to comments are outlined in the beginning of this section under Addenda Errata.

This document, in combination with the Draft EIR, Recirculated Draft EIR and the revised Draft EIR Section, constitutes the Final EIR to be presented to the City of Pasadena Planning Commission and City Council to consider for certification prior to decisions on acceptance and approval of the All Saints Church Master Development Plan. However, certification of the Final EIR rests with the City Council, therefore additional materials may be added or modified by the City prior to the time of certification (See CEQA Guidelines Sections 15090 and 15132(e)). Specific comments contained within any particular written letter have been numbered in order to provide a reference to it in the response. Each letter is presented first, with the responses following.

